

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| ·                                       | TW DIO DATE                             | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|---|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| APPLICATION NO.                         | FILING DATE                             |                      | 99 BB CNR URE           | 9090             |  |
| 09/904,459                              | 07/16/2001                              | Gilles Guichard      | 99 BB CINC ORE          | ,,,,             |  |
| 466 7590 05/01/2002<br>YOUNG & THOMPSON |   |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| 745 SOUTH                               | 23RD STREET 2ND FLO<br>N, VA 22202      | OOR                  | KIFLE, BRUCK            |                  |  |
| AKLINOTO                                | ,, ,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|   |   |                      | 1624                    | 8                |  |
|   |   |                      | DATE MAILED: 05/01/2002 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ·   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)    |                            |                            |  |  |  |
|---|--|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| <i>y</i>  | 09/904,459   | Guichard et al. |                            |                            |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner Bruck Kifle, F                                      | h.D.            | Art Unit<br><b>1624</b>    |                            |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet w                                    | ith the corres  | oondence add               | ress                       |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS  |  |                 |                            |                            |  |  |  |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.   | 7 CCD 1 136 (a) In no ev                                     | ent. however, r | nay a reply be             | timely filed               |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply w</li> </ul> | days, a reply within the sta<br>tory period will apply and w | tutory minimum  | of thirty (30)  MONTHS fro | m the mailing date of this |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months disk<br/>earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>   | er the mailing date of this c                                | ommunication,   | even if timely t           | illed, may reduce any      |  |  |  |
| Status  1)   Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jul 1</u>   |  |                 |                            |                            |  |  |  |
|   | s action is non-final.                                       |                 |                            | sha marita ia              |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  |  |                 |                            |                            |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  | ie/ar           | e nendina in               | the application.           |  |  |  |
| 4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-39</u>   |  | 15/d1           | e pending in               | from consideration         |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above, claim(s)  |  | is/a            | re withdrawi               | , TOM Consideration.       |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s)   |  |                 | _ is/are allow             | eu.                        |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s)   |  | <u>·</u>        | _ is/are reject            | leu.                       |  |  |  |
| ZI Claim/a)   |  |                 |                            | ted to.                    |  |  |  |
| 8) 💢 Claims <u>1-39</u>   | are su   | bject to restr  | iction and/or              | election requirement.      |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |                 |                            |                            |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin   | ner.   | - Eveminer      |                            |                            |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  is: a) approved b) disapproved.  |  |                 |                            |                            |  |  |  |
| 11) $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on $\_\_$   |  | approved        | u oj 🗀 disapi              | 5, <b>5 , 5 , 5</b>        |  |  |  |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the  | Examiner   |                 |                            |                            |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  | 05.1   |                 | o) (d)                     |                            |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for for  | eign priority under 35 C                                     | 1.5.C. 9 119(   | a)-(u).                    |                            |  |  |  |
| a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:   |  |                 |                            | •                          |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documer   | its have been received.                                      | in Application  | No.                        | •                          |  |  |  |
| 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documer   | nts have been received                                       | een received    | in this Natio              | nal Stage                  |  |  |  |
| Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the Internation.  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list.   | st of the certified copies                                   | not received    | l.                         | •                          |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for do   | mestic priority under 35                                     | 5 U.S.C. § 11   | 19(e).                     |                            |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   | _  |                 | A1 7 3                     |                            |  |  |  |
| 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 18) Interview Sum  |                 |                            | _                          |  |  |  |
| 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |                 |                            | •                          |  |  |  |
| 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20) Uther:   |  |                 |                            |                            |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 1624

## Election/Restriction

Claims 1-39 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising compounds which do not have a common significant structural feature and their process of making. The claims as presented present an undue burden for the office to search. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/904,459

Art Unit: 1624

Note that compounds, corresponding compositions, a method of use and a process of making that are of the same scope are considered to form a single inventive concept. The compounds of the instant claims are not so linked as to form a single inventive concept. The compounds are so diverse in scope that a prior art anticipating one compound under 35 USC 102 would not render obvious another compound of the same claim under 35 USC 103.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruck Kifle whose telephone number is (703) 305-4484.

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4556 or (703) 305-3592. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

April 30, 2002

Art Unit 1624

Page 3